UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,766	04/13/2004	Randy L. Rummel	DYCOOK.015C1	6739
20995 KNORRE MA	7590 04/05/2007 RTENS OLSON & BEA	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	TREET	BASICHAS, ALFRED		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/05/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

ķ
•

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/824,766	RUMMEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alfred Basichas	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	<u>farch 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 26-65 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>26-65</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 26-65 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-49 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,965. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.
- Claim*** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(***) as anticipated by ***.

Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 26-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gilliom (4,108,139), which appears to disclose substantially all of the claimed limitations, including among other things,
 - 26. An oven 10 comprising: an oven cavity 17; a fan compartment 50 adjacent a wall 20 of the oven cavity and housing a centrifugal fan 51 therein; a combustion box 35 located below the fan compartment and at least a portion of a wall 39 (see at least fig. 2) separating the combustion box from the oven cavity not being insulated; a tube-type gas burner 32 located adjacent and parallel (see at least fig. 3) to a front wall 31 of the combustion box, the front wall of the combustion box being proximate a front 21 of the oven cavity; a flue spout 39 configured to provide fluid communication between the combustion box and an inlet portion of the fan compartment (see at least fig. 2).
 - 27. The oven of Claim 26, wherein a portion 41,57 of the inlet portion is open to the oven cavity.
 - 28. The oven of Claim 26, further comprising openings 58 formed in a bottom wall of the oven separating the oven cavity from the combustion box (see at least fig. 1).

Art Unit: 3749

Page 4

- 29. The oven of Claim 26, wherein a bottom wall of the combustion box comprises a rearward upward slope 37 (see at least fig. 2).
- 30. The oven of Claim 26, wherein the combustion box comprises a plurality of air inlet holes 58.
- 31. The oven of Claim 26, wherein the flue spout covers substantially the entire inlet portion of the fan compartment (see at least fig. 2).
- 32. The oven of Claim 26, wherein the flue spout covers at least half of the inlet portion of the fan compartment (see at least fig. 2). Gilliom further shows a baffle plate 42 with a centrally positioned inlet 57, a portion of the oven back wall 20 forms a portion of the fan compartment (see at least fig. 2) with multiple fan outlets (see at least fig. 3), a plurality of primary and secondary combustion holes 66,70.

Applicants have added a substantial number of claims directed to various locations of components. Where differences occur between the newly claimed invention and the invention disclosed by Gilliom, such differences appear to be a matter of design choice based on spatial considerations. In view of the absence of criticality for this particular design, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate it into the invention disclosed by Gilliom, so as to provide for spatial considerations.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim amendment have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

March 28, 2007

Primary Examiner